

MELINDA HAAG (CABN 132612)
United States Attorney

J. DOUGLAS WILSON (DCBN 412811)
Chief, Criminal Division

JANAKI GANDHI (CABN 272246)
Special Assistant United States Attorney

450 Golden Gate Ave., Box 36055
San Francisco, California 94102
Telephone: (415) 436-7200
Fax: (415) 436-7234
E-Mail: janaki.gandhi@usdoj.gov

Attorneys for United States of America

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)	NO. CR 14-0074 RS
)	
Plaintiff,)	
)	
v.)	
)	STIPULATION AND PROPOSED ORDER
JESUS RENE GARCIA SANDOVAL,)	EXCLUDING TIME UNDER 18 U.S.C. § 3161
)	
)	
Defendant.)	

The parties in this case appeared before the Court on April 15, 2014. At that time, the parties represented that additional time would be required to conduct investigations and reach a possible resolution to the case. As a result, the Court set the matter to May 20, 2014.

The parties have agreed to exclude the period of time between April 15, 2014, and May 20, 2014, from any time limits applicable under 18 U.S.C. § 3161. The parties agreed that granting the exclusion would allow the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation of counsel. *See* 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv). The parties also agreed that the ends of justice served by granting such an exclusion of time outweigh the best interests of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial. 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A). At the hearing, the Court made findings consistent with this agreement.

Stipulation and [Proposed] Order Excluding Time
CR 14-0074 RS

1 SO STIPULATED:

2 MELINDA HAAG
3 United States Attorney

4 DATED: April 21, 2014

5 /s/
JANAKI GANDHI
6 Special Assistant United States Attorney

7 DATED: April 21, 2014

8 /s/
CANDIS MITCHELL
9 Attorney for Defendant Jesus Rene Garcia Sandoval

~~PROPOSED~~ ORDER

For the reasons stated above and at the April 15, 2014, hearing, the Court finds that the exclusion from the time limits applicable under 18 U.S.C. § 3161 of the period from April 15, 2014, to May 20, 2014, is warranted and that the ends of justice served by the continuance outweigh the best interests of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial. 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A). Denying the requested exclusion of time would deprive the parties of the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence. 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv).

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: 5/7/14


HONORABLE RICHARD SEEBORG
United States District Court Judge